1	UTAH PROTECTION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ACT	
2	AMENDMENTS	
3	2018 GENERAL SESSION	
4	STATE OF UTAH	
5	Chief Sponsor: Jani Iwamoto	
6	House Sponsor: Lee B. Perry	
7 8	LONG TITLE	
9	General Description:	
0	This bill amends provisions of the Utah Protection of Public Employees Act.	
l	Highlighted Provisions:	
,	This bill:	
}	 exempts an action filed under the Utah Protection of Public Employees Act from 	
	certain requirements of the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah;	
5	 expands the list of entities to whom an employee may communicate wrongful 	
)	government conduct under the protections provided by the Utah Protection of	
	Public Employees Act;	
	 defines "independent personnel board" for a state institution of higher education; 	
	 sets a deadline for an independent personnel board to hear a complaint; 	
	 provides for the appeal of the ruling of an independent personnel board or final 	
	decision maker;	
2	requires a state institution of higher education to adopt a policy to establish an	
3	independent personnel board to hear and take action on a complaint alleging adverse	
	action against an employee;	
	 provides an exception to the 180-day time limit for bringing an action under the 	
	Utah Protection of Public Employees Act;	
	• expands the circumstances under which an employer is required to provide a copy	



S.B. 199 02-15-18 7:41 PM

28	of the Utah Protection of Public Employees Act to an employee; and	
29	 makes technical and conforming amendments. 	
30	Money Appropriated in this Bill:	
31	None	
32	Other Special Clauses:	
33	None	
34	Utah Code Sections Affected:	
35	AMENDS:	
36	63G-7-203, as renumbered and amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 382	
37	67-21-3, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 427	
38	67-21-3.7, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 427	
39	67-21-4, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 427	
40	67-21-9, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 427	
41		
42	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:	
43	Section 1. Section 63G-7-203 is amended to read:	
44	63G-7-203. Exemptions for certain actions.	
45	[An action that involves takings law, as defined in Section 63L-3-102, is not subject to	
46	the] <u>The</u> requirements of Sections 63G-7-401, 63G-7-402, 63G-7-403, and 63G-7-601[.] <u>do not</u>	
47	apply to:	
48	(1) an action that involves takings law, as defined in Section 63L-3-102; or	
49	(2) an action filed under Title 67, Chapter 21, Utah Protection of Public Employees	
50	Act.	
51	Section 2. Section 67-21-3 is amended to read:	
52	67-21-3. Reporting of governmental waste or violations of law Employer action	
53	Exceptions.	
54	(1) (a) An employer may not take adverse action against an employee because the	
55	employee, or a person authorized to act on behalf of the employee, communicates in good	
56	faith:	
57	(i) the waste or misuse of public funds, property, or manpower;	
58	(ii) a violation or suspected violation of a law, rule, or regulation adopted under the law	

59	of this state, a political subdivision of this state, or any recognized entity of the United States;	
60	or	
61	(iii) as it relates to a state government employer:	
62	(A) gross mismanagement;	
63	(B) abuse of authority; or	
64	(C) unethical conduct.	
65	(b) For purposes of Subsection (1)(a), an employee is presumed to have communicated	
66	in good faith if the employee gives written notice or otherwise formally communicates the	
67	conduct described in Subsection (1)(a) to:	
68	(i) a person in authority over the person alleged to have engaged in the conduct	
69	described in Subsection (1)(a);	
70	(ii) the attorney general's office;	
71	(iii) law enforcement, if the conduct is criminal in nature;	
72	(iv) if the employee is a public entity employee, public body employee, legislative	
73	employee, or a judicial employee:	
74	(A) the state auditor's office;	
75	(B) the president of the Senate;	
76	(C) the speaker of the House of Representatives;	
77	(D) the Office of Legislative Auditor General;	
78	[(D)] (E) the governor's office;	
79	[(E)] (F) the state court administrator; or	
80	[(F)] <u>(G)</u> the Division of Finance;	
81	(v) if the employee is a public entity employee, but not an employee of a state	
82	institution of higher education, the director of the Division of Purchasing and General Services;	
83	(vi) if the employee is a political subdivision employee:	
84	(A) the legislative body, or a member of the legislative body, of the political	
85	subdivision;	
86	(B) the governing body, or a member of the governing body, of the political	
87	subdivision;	
88	(C) the top executive of the political subdivision; or	
89	(D) any government official with authority to audit the political subdivision or the	

S.B. 199 02-15-18 7:41 PM

90	applicable part of the political subdivision; or
91	(vii) if the employee is an employee of a state institution of higher education:
92	(A) the State Board of Regents or a member of the State Board of Regents;
93	(B) the commissioner of higher education;
94	(C) the president of the state institution of higher education where the employee is
95	employed; or
96	(D) the entity that conducts audits of the state institution of higher education where the
97	employee is employed.
98	(c) The presumption described in Subsection (1)(b) may be rebutted by showing that
99	the employee knew or reasonably ought to have known that the report is malicious, false, or
100	frivolous.
101	(2) An employer may not take adverse action against an employee because an
102	employee participates or gives information in an investigation, hearing, court proceeding,
103	legislative or other inquiry, or other form of administrative review held by the public body.
104	(3) An employer may not take adverse action against an employee because the
105	employee has objected to or refused to carry out a directive that the employee reasonably
106	believes violates a law of this state, a political subdivision of this state, or the United States, or
107	a rule or regulation adopted under the authority of the laws of this state, a political subdivision
108	of this state, or the United States.
109	(4) An employer may not implement rules or policies that unreasonably restrict an
110	employee's ability to document:
111	(a) the waste or misuse of public funds, property, or manpower;
112	(b) a violation or suspected violation of any law, rule, or regulation; or
113	(c) as it relates to a state government employer:
114	(i) gross mismanagement;
115	(ii) abuse of authority; or
116	(iii) unethical conduct.
117	Section 3. Section 67-21-3.7 is amended to read:
118	67-21-3.7. Administrative review for state institution of higher education
119	employees.
120	(1) (a) As used in this section, "independent personnel board" means a board where no

121	member of the board:	
122	(i) is in the same department as the complainant;	
123	(ii) is a supervisor of the complainant; or	
124	(iii) has a conflict of interest in relation to the complainant or an allegation made in the	
125	complaint.	
126	[(1) (a)] (b) A state institution of higher education [may] shall adopt a policy to	
127	establish an independent personnel board to hear and take action on a complaint alleging	
128	adverse action.	
129	[(b)] (c) The policy described in Subsection (1)[(a)](b) shall include:	
130	(i) procedures for filing a complaint and conducting a hearing; and	
131	(ii) a burden of proof on the employer to establish by substantial evidence that the	
132	employer's action was justified by reasons unrelated to the employee's good faith actions under	
133	Section 67-21-3.	
134	[(2) If a state institution of higher education adopts a policy described in Subsection	
135	(1), an]	
136	(2) (a) An employee of [the] a state institution of higher education may file a complaint	
137	with the independent personnel board <u>described in Subsection (1)(b)</u> alleging adverse action.	
138	(b) An independent personnel board that receives a complaint under Subsection (2)(a)	
139	shall hear the matter, resolve the complaint, and take action under Subsection (3) within the	
140	<u>later of:</u>	
141	(i) 30 days after the day on which the employee files the complaint; or	
142	(ii) a longer period of time, not to exceed 30 additional days, if the employee and the	
143	independent personnel board mutually agree on the longer time period.	
144	(3) If an independent personnel board finds that adverse action is taken in violation of	
145	the policy described in Subsection $(1)[(a)](b)$, the independent personnel board may order, or	
146	recommend to a final decision maker:	
147	(a) reinstatement of the employee at the same level as before the adverse action;	
148	(b) the payment of back wages;	
149	(c) full reinstatement of fringe benefits;	
150	(d) full reinstatement of seniority rights; or	
151	(e) if the adverse action includes failure to promote, as described in Subsection	

152 67-19a-101(8)(d), a pay raise that results in the employee receiving the pay that the employee 153 would have received if the person had been promoted. 154 (4) A final decision maker who receives a recommendation under Subsection (3) shall 155 render a decision and enter an order within seven days after the day on which the final decision 156 maker receives the recommendation. 157 Section 4. Section **67-21-4** is amended to read: 67-21-4. Choice of forum -- Remedies for employee bringing action -- Proof 158 159 required. 160 (1) (a) Except as provided in Subsection (1)(b) or (d), and subject to Subsections (1)[(e)](d) through (e), an employee who alleges a violation of this chapter may bring a civil 161 162 action for appropriate injunctive relief, damages, or both, within 180 days after the occurrence 163 of the alleged violation of this chapter. 164 (b) Except as provided in Subsection (1)(d): (i) [An] an employee of a political subdivision that has adopted an ordinance described 165 in Section 67-21-3.6: 166 167 (A) may bring a civil action described in Subsection (1)(a) within 180 days after the 168 day on which the employee has exhausted administrative remedies; and 169 (B) may not bring a civil action described in Subsection (1)(a) until the employee has 170 exhausted administrative remedies[-]; and 171 (ii) [An] an employee of a state institution of higher education [that has adopted a 172 policy described in Section 67-21-3.7]: 173 (A) may bring a civil action described in Subsection (1)(a) within 180 days after the 174 day on which the employee has exhausted administrative remedies; and 175 (B) may not bring a civil action described in Subsection (1)(a) until the employee has 176 exhausted administrative remedies. 177 (c) [A] Except as provided in Subsection (1)(d), a public entity employee who is not a 178 legislative employee or a judicial employee may bring a claim of retaliatory action by selecting 179 one of the following methods: 180 (i) filing a grievance with the Career Service Review Office in accordance with Section 181 67-19a-402.5; or

(ii) bringing a civil action for appropriate injunctive relief, damages, or both, within

182

103	180 days after the occurrence of the aneged violation of this chapter.
184	(d) (i) A claimant may bring an action after the 180-day limit described in this
185	Subsection (1) if:
186	(A) the claimant originally brought the action within the 180-day time limit;
187	(B) the action described in Subsection (1)(d)(i)(A) failed or was dismissed for a reason
188	other than on the merits; and
189	(C) the claimant brings the new action within 180 days after the day on which the
190	claimant originally brought the action under Subsection (1)(d)(i)(A).
191	(ii) A claimant may commence a new action under this Subsection (1)(d) only once.
192	[(d)] (e) A public entity employee who files a grievance under Subsection
193	(1)[(c)] <u>(d)</u> (i):
194	(i) may not, at any time, bring a civil action in relation to the subject matter of the
195	grievance;
196	(ii) may seek a remedy described in Subsection 67-21-3.5(2); and
197	(iii) waives the right to seek a remedy or a type of damages not included in Subsection
198	67-21-3.5(2).
199	[(e)] (f) A public entity employee who files a civil action under Subsection
200	(1)[(c)](d)(ii) may not, at any time, file a grievance with the Career Service Review Office in
201	relation to the subject matter of the civil action.
202	(2) An employee who brings a civil action under this section shall bring the action in
203	the district court for the county where the alleged violation occurred, the county where the
204	complainant resides, or the county where the person against whom the civil complaint is filed
205	resides or has the person's principal place of business.
206	(3) To prevail in an action brought under this section, the employer shall prove by
207	substantial evidence that the employer's action was justified.
208	Section 5. Section 67-21-9 is amended to read:
209	67-21-9. Notice of contents of this chapter Posting.
210	(1) An employer shall post notices and use other appropriate means to keep employees
211	informed of their protections and obligations under this chapter.
212	(2) [Upon request by an employee, or when an employee alleges an adverse action, the]
213	An employer shall provide [the] an employee with a copy of this chapter[-]:

S.B. 199 02-15-18 7:41 PM

214	(a) when the employee is hired;
215	(b) upon a request by the employee; and
216	(c) when the employee files a grievance under this chapter.

Legislative Review Note Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel